Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application N	lo. Applicant	t(s)
	10/510,218	HAMA ET	AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Rudy Zervigor	n 1763	
All Participants: Status of Application:			
(1) Rudy Zervigon.	(3)	e.	
(2) Nancy Connel.	(4)	·	
Date of Interview: 31 July 2007	Time: <u>17:1</u>	7	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.	•		
Rejection(s) discussed: None			
Claims discussed: All pending			
Prior art documents discussed: None			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
 □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	pplicant/Applicant's Re	epresentative Signature – i	f appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called to inquire on the disposition of the case. Mrs. Connel returned my phone call affirming Applicant's intention to abandon the application. Note: a paper in *11*510218 filed 2/1/7 is, as of the date of this action, scanned into this file record. The Examiner has requested clarification of the scanning error today 7/31. No response to the 1/31/7 action was made in the case..